UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,593	11/13/2003	Khurram Muhammad	TI-34776	8991
23494 7590 08/08/2007 TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999 DALLAS, TX 75265			EXAMINER	
			LE, DINH THANH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2816	
•				
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/08/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/712,593	MUHAMMAD ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	DINH T. LE	2816		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	rith the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI tute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	<u> May 2007</u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the meritance.				
Disposition of Claims				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>17-20 and 30-40</u> is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>2</u> , <u>4</u> , <u>6-8</u> , <u>16</u> , <u>24</u> , <u>26 and 28</u> is/are reconstruction and the subject to restriction and the subject to restrict the subjec	rejected. ted to.			
Application Papers				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami	iner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	ccepted or b) Dobjected to	by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form P10-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.			
2. Certified copies of the priority docume3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume				
application from the International Bure	•			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.		
Attachment(s)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____.

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
6) Other:

The objection of claims 26-27 is withdrawn in view of the amendments to these claims.

Page 2

The rejection on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 8-15 of copending Application No. 11/388,558 are withdrawn in view of the arguments presented in the Amendment.

The rejections over Simon et al (GB 2230627) and Lee et al (US 5,732,002) are withdrawn in view of the arguments presented in the Amendment.

Claim Objection

Claim 30 is objected to because of the following informalities: "signal" on line 3 should be corrected as –signal;--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 2, 4, 6-7, 16, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35Usc 102 (b) as being anticipated by Yasuda (US 6,181,740).

Regarding claims 4, 16 and 24, Yasuda discloses in Figures 3 and 9 a circuit comprising:

- a IIR filter (105, Figure 3) which including a plurality of single pole IIR filters in cascade (C11, SW11) and C12, SW12). Noted that each pole is formed by a capacitor of the capacitors (C11-C1n);

- means for direct sampling (SW1, SW2) coupled to the filter (105); and
- at least one amplifier stage (401) coupled to the filters (Figure 9).

Regarding claims 2 and 6, wherein the cascade of single pole IIR filters together implement a high order filter devoid of amplifier.

Regarding claim 7, wherein the cascade of single pole IIR filters is operational to create a uni-directional flow of information, signal, or charge and disallow any feedback from a later filter stage to an earlier filter stage.

Claims 8, 16 and 28 are rejected under 35 USC 10(b) as being anticipated by Avidsson et al (US 6,414,541).

Arvidsson et al discloses in Figure 1 a filter circuit comprising:

- a cascade of IIR filters (C1-C6, S1-S6, n1-n6) comprising a history capacitor (C1); and
- a first rotary capacitor (C2).
- Noted that each pole of the filter is formed by a capacitor of the capacitors (C1-C6).

Response to Applicant's Arguments

The applicant argues that Yamada does not suggest the limitation "history capacitor" and "sampling n consecutive samples on n distinct capacitors and of n samples does not affect the

Application/Control Number: 10/712,593

Art Unit: 2816

output produced by the next window of n sample". The arguments are not persuasive because this limitation is not recited in the rejected claims.

The applicant argues that Arvidsson does not propose "cascade of single pole IIR filters". The argument is not persuasive because each capacitor in the cascaded capacitors (C1-C6) of Arvidsson provides a single pole.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 17-20 and 30-40 are allows because the prior art of record fails to suggest the "history capacitor" as recited in claim 17, "the feedback loop" as combined in claims 30 and 37.

Claims 3, 5, 9-15, 25, 27 and 29 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. These claims are allowed because the prior art of record fails to suggests the "multi-tap direct sampling mixer", "buffer capacitor and a second capacitor bank" in combination as claimed.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Page 5

Art Unit: 2816

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DINH T. LE whose telephone number is (571) 272-1745. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8AM-7PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Drew Richards, can be reached at (571) 272-1736.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

7/28/07

PRIMARY EXAMINES